André Maurois describes the novel as "DICKENS, NOVELIST, VIVIDLY PORTRAYED IN FRENCH LECTURE." Maurois believed that Dickens's works illustrate the author's "Vividly portrayed in French LECTURE." Maurois implies that Dickens's works vividly portrayed in French LECTURE." Maurois’s view of Dickens extends beyond the scope of this document.

DELIVERIES SPEECH AT CHICAGO

Acres depicts Dickens’s Great Power in "The Intimate Knowledge of Men and Ordinary Life." Acres highlights Dickens's ability to vividly portray the lives of ordinary men and women through his works.

DICKENS HAS CHARGED—Catering—

The Times reports that Dickens charged for catering services and charged for tickets to his performance.

ARCHITECTURE CLUB PLANS BAL MASQUE

The members of the Architecture Club plan to hold a bal masqué to raise funds for the club.

COOK, THE PRINCETONIAN—

The Princetonian mentions John Cook, who is mentioned as a second-year student at Princeton University.

DICKENS, NOVELIST, VIVIDLY PORTRAYED IN FRENCH LECTURE

Maurois describes Factors Which Made Dickens Gifted Writer—His Sentimentality.

DICKENS, NOVELIST, VIVIDLY PORTRAYED IN FRENCH LECTURE

Maurois delivers a speech at the Arts Club, where he discusses the novels and works of Charles Dickens.

ARCHITECTURE CLUB PLANS BAL MASQUE

The Architecture Club plans to hold a bal masqué in order to raise funds for the club. The event will feature music by Brussels records and will include a dance, tea, and refreshments.

COOK, THE PRINCETONIAN—

John Cook is mentioned in the Princetonian as a second-year student at Princeton University. He is described as a gifted writer and is known for his sentimental works.

DICKENS, NOVELIST, VIVIDLY PORTRAYED IN FRENCH LECTURE

Maurois delivers a speech at the Arts Club, where he discusses the novels and works of Charles Dickens. He describes Dickens as a gifted writer known for his sentimental works.
The STUDENT VOTE

The recent developments which Princeton has been privileged to wit ness in many a year took place yesterday in the bosom of the residential dis trict, which includes all the campus dormitories. Officials in charge refused to register students twenty-one years of age, on the ground that they had not met the necessary residential requirement for five months, thus preventing any Princetonian for three months during the sum mer vacation. Conflicting rumors were rife as to whether or not townpeople who had been away from Princeton during the three-month summer were capable of being registered; at all other colleges, of which there were six in Princeton, registration took place in the appropriate districts as accepted as qual ified voters upon meeting the other require ments.

The fact seems clear, both from the subsequent efforts of Republican and Demo cratic candidates to bring about the registration of these young people, and from the fact that the trouble was confined to one particular booth, that the fault lay with the second booth. Misinterpretation of the residential qualification, in spite of the well and widely known right of students to vote in Princet on, was the sole cause of the affair. It is one possible explanation, since a minor change has been made in the law governing residence and voting, yet such ex planations are a misguided attempt on the part of petty officials in one party or other to sour the cause by eliminating the student vote.

If discrimination against the under graduate vote for political purposes was intended, it has certainly received sufficient publicity to discourage a repetition in the future. In regard to the present case, it is understood that there were no registrants of such age upon application students who were refused at Booth Two yesterday would be duly regis tered. The list of those who were refused at the PRINCETONIAN Office for those who un successfully attempted registration should come to the attention of the admin istration of all unjustly refused their vote.

The Student Council has made an auspicious beginning by announcing its deter mination to take over full responsibility and control of the annual Community Chest Drive held on the Princeton Campus. In past years the practice followed by the Sen ior Council of endorsing the drive was pro posed by the Philanthropic Society and leaving the conduct of the campaign to the latter organization. But this year, following the endorsement of the Student Council, Senior Council, or no Council at all, held last spring, and from the high percentage of those who tacitly gave their consent to the present procedures by voting in the last election. All this, together with the fact that the present Council was set up on technical authorization from the student body.

And the lack of such technical under graduate authorization is in reality the point of today’s communiqué. Assump tion of ultimate responsibility for the Com munity Chest Drive has uniformly been a function of Senior Councils in the past; it is unquestionably one of the specific duties of the Senior Council; the Student Council was elected to assume that responsibility; and only complete lack of self-confi dence would make an undergraduate feel that proceeding in need of official sanction, other than what undergraduates themselves could give. It was likewise not until the previous election that the point of electing only seven men was the retention of the appointive principle. It is expected that the Council membership would be enlarged to three men. It is hard to claim that this proceeding demanded the permission of the Board of Trustees to convey its validity.

The real objection raised in these col umns today is that the Council was irregu larly conducted, even for the purpose of assuming the well-recognized duties of past Senior Councils. Such an objection can be met by a simple policy and a strict action of the Council, except conversation with the Trustees in the forthcoming conference on Wednesday a week. The objection is not one which would appear valid, but if it is widely held, the question of undergraduate sanction should immediately be ironed out in the Open Forum. It would be unfortunate to allow the cry of “Tyran ny” to dog the furt h steps of a body which has difficulties enough in its path without the added burden of legal nesting at each step.

CAMPUS COMMENT

To the Editor of the PRINCETONIAN,

Sir—From the sanctum sanctorum of the Student Council comes the news that that body will take complete charge of the Community Chest Drive for the coming year. News indeed! The alacrity with which our newly elected “general body” has assumed its powers is a consideration to cause serious thought, if not deep concern. It is to be wondered where the Council draws its line between the assumption of necessary duties and the prescription of undefined powers. If our money is to be spent wisely, the principles that have been elected in the belief that its first move would be to reach some agreement with the administration in its exact field of action. Has this been made? We read in Tuesday’s PRINCETONIAN that it was decided, at Monday’s meeting, “to gain official sanction if possible for the permission of the Student Council of the specific duties fulfilled by the former Senior Council.” Previous to the making of this decision, our Council had appointed three new numbers and a complete charge of the Community Chest Drive. Are these specific duties? Furthermore, is it logical procedure to go on official sanction?”

From all appearances, the Student Council, under the leadership of its officers, has added to that row of churches of the Student Council of the sanctum sanctorum. This Council, the present effective government of the University, is the only one that has viewed its responsibilities with the care, caution, with its eye to the general and its eye on the Administration. Conference with the authors was certainly in order—was, in fact, the issue of the election. If Princeton elections may be said to boast issues. But our Council did not confer, except with itself. Its first move was to appoint “representative men” to serve on the “smaller clubs” and the non-club group—a truly admirable move on the part of Princeton’s “challenging democracy!” Clubs are certainly a part of life at Princeton, and recognition of this salient fact is the sine qua non of any attempt at truly representative government in Old Campus.

And now our Council is taking another big jump. Tyramny! Where are the conferences we were promised? Where are the open discussions? —Why, for instance, were certain men appointed? If there was a good reason, out with it! Let us have no more petty veiling of the obvious. Let us have a more rash action without unnecessary discussion beforehand. We, your trusting consti tuents, want to know the facts! ANONYMOUS

The accomplishment of a suit in the manner gentleman want it to be made has been a feat carried on by LANRICK for three decades

FURNITURE

in the raw wood or finished
Prices right

Weber’s Artist Oil Colors, Brushes, etc.

BRUSH DUNO—Paints—Wall papers

ROSS MAPLE, 170 Nassau St

"Stylisted to the taste of Princeton men"

The new derbies by

Dunlap and Stetson.

A variety of neckwear.

Shirt, shoes, socks, suits, studs, slippers.

"The Princeton University Store"

EACH ITEM A MINIMUM

LONGnek communities

so

The Arthur W. Winstead Co.

TAYLORS

The Rushmore Auto Co.

Rostenberg made-to-measure
clothes are designed, not to call attention to themselves, but rather to accentuate the “individual” they serve. See the new Fall Importations.

Representative

TOM HARRISON

at Kopp’s

60 Nassau St.

Today
The Crosley "Bandbox"

shown above is Powell Crosley's “Masterpiece”

Crosley is licensed to use the patents owned and held by the following companies:

1. The Crosley Radio Corporation
2. The Radio Corporation of America
4. The General Electric Company
5. The American Tel. & Tel. Company
6. The Hazeltine Corporation
7. The Lauter Corporation

Everything covered by the patents of these leading Radio companies in the way of mechanical or electrical advantages are now open to Crosley and are to be found in the "Bandbox."

The RADIO SHOP
Hos. R. O'Kane, Jr., Prop.
27 Witherspoon Street
AUTHORIZED Crosley SALES and SERVICE

The finest foreign looms produce our woolens, and our experienced designers and craftsmen tailor them into that aristocratic fashion of studied carelessness.

Your inspection is cordially invited.

The Unparalleled Sin in Stationery
Trust keen eye of hers that appreciates the suit you wear—of a lot of old lace. Don’t ever think she will send you an invitation in which you send your invitation to the public in the theatre. Insistent stationery, in her eyes, may be the unpardonable sin.

Safety against social errors in stationery can be guaranteed by writing your missives on Old Hampshire Stationery. When ultra quality costs so little more, it doesn’t pay to run risks—especially with her.

The Garden Theatre
Wednesday, Thursday, Friday & Saturday

A Picture for the Ages!
With a cast of

generated by

Three Years in the Making at a Cost of $4,000,000

STUDENT'S "MEND IT SHOP"

Tulane Street, near 120th Street, 6. Princeton, N. J.
WE MEND EVERYTHING.
Your old shirts made over. New collars and cuffs put on. We make and hang your curtains. All kinds of darning. We clean and press your clothes. TRY US.

STUDENT'S "MEND IT SHOP"

Our representative will be at the Nassau Inn
today

with a complete line of

HATS, COATS, CAPS, GLOVES, TIES, Etc.

Collins & Fairbanks
383 Washington St., Boston
Chesterfield

Overcoats

Nothing is more essential to complete your wardrobe than a Chesterfield.
Tailored from the genuine Melton woolens, completely lined with Skinner's Satin.
Priced at $65 and $75.
Also Dobbs Derbies, Fownes Gloves, Mufflers to complete the outfit.

Now Read What 11,105* Doctors say About Lucky Strike Cigarettes Because

"It's toasted"

WHAT is the quality that Giacomo Rimini, Margaret D'Alvarez, Cesare Formichi, Armand Tokatyan, Emma Trentini, William Faversham, Florence Reed, Paul Whiteman, and other famous singers, actors, broadcasters and public speakers have found that makes LUCKY STRIKES delightful and of no possible injury to their voices?

For the answer we turned to medical men and asked them this question:

Do you think from your experience with LUCKY STRIKE cigarettes that they are less irritating to sensitive or tender throats than other cigarettes, whatever the reason?

11,105* doctors answered this question "YES."

Consider what these figures mean; consider that they represent the opinion and experience of doctors, those whose business it is to know.

"It's toasted"

No Throat Irritation—No Cough.

* We hereby certify that we have examined 11,105 signed cards confirming the above statement.
LYBRAND, BOSSER, & MONTGOMERY
Accountants and Auditors
New York, July 31, 1927.